	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/033,496	LAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Victor S. Chang	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeals all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this appropriate communication of the subject and MPEP 1308.	oplication. If not included in will be mailed in due course. THIS
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-8 and 18-21</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 1. International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submin INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives 1. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1. hereto or 2. To Paper No./Mail Date  [b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the content of the content	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply MENT of this application.  hitted. Note the attached EXAMINER es reason(s) why the oath or declar st be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review ( PTC) s Amendment / Comment or in the 1.84(c)) should be written on the draw the header according to 37 CFR 1.121	c national stage application from the complying with the requirements  R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ration is deficient.  9-948) attached  Office action of ings in the front (not the back) of (d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s)		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal	
2.  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summar Paper No./Mail Da	· · ·
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. Examiner's Amend	
1. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Statem	ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9.   Other	•

Application/Control Number: 10/033,496

Art Unit: 1771

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In view of the decision by BPAI mailed 8/31/2006, the claimed invention is allowed. In particular, BPAI has determined [pages 7-8] that the imaging layers encompassed by reference Dontula '976 are not coterminous with or entirely fall within any or all of appealed claims 2-8 and 18-21, because the closed cell foam core sheets can be of any polymeric material and are not limited with respect to the property of modulus and toughness. More particularly, BPAI points out that a generic claim or disclosure does not provide an anticipatory description of any particular embodiment encompassed thereby. Finally, in response to BPAI's statement [page 8] that Appellants' argument in rebuttal with respect to the difference between the properties of modulus and toughness has shifted the burden to the Examiner to again establish a prima facie case of anticipation in order to maintain the rejection, but the Examiner has not addressed Appellants' position that there is no correlation between toughness and stiffness properties, the Examiner wishes to clarify that, as also correctly noted by the Board that the Examiner has agreed that there is no correlation between toughness and modulus properties, there is nothing further for the Examiner to respond, because Appellants' argument is unrelated to the Examiner's Answer. In other words, the Examiner has in fact agreed that there is no correlation between modulus and toughness, hence it is not a basis relied upon for rejection, and the BPAI appears to have overlooked that the Examiner has not made any direct or inferred any indirect correlation between the modulus and toughness properties in the Examiner's Answer.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Application/Control Number: 10/033,496

Art Unit: 1771

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor S Chang

Examiner

Art Unit 1771

9/20/06

TERREL MORRIS

Page 3